

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO: | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| AIRS ID#: 0251307 DATE: 9/16/2011 ARRIVE: 10:35 AM DEPAR | RT: <u>11:01 AM</u> | | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME: MASPONS FUNERAL HOME-MIAMI | | | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME: MASPONS FUNERAL HOME-MIAMI FACILITY LOCATION: 3500 SW 8TH ST | | | | | | | |
| MIAMI 33135-4110 | | | | | | | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ERIC MASPONS Email: CONTACT NAME: ERIC MASPONS Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/14/2009 / 3/14/2014 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (305)461- Mobile: PHONE: (305)461- Mobile: | | | | | | | |
| Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): MRS MASPONS Drief Name: | (check ☑ only one box for each question) | | | | | | |
| Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still ERIC MASPONS? | ⊠ Yes □No | | | | | | |
| If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still ERIC MASPONS? | | | | | | | |
| 4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? | | | | | | | |

Emissions Unit Section 1 – Human Crematory-prim/2ndary chmbrs, LP gas fired, 150 lbs/hr

| PA | RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | (check 🗹 box for each o | only one question) |
|-----|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1. | a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the | ⊠ Yes | □No |
| 3. | secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit? | ∑ Yes ∑ Yes | □No □No |
| 4. | Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing | ⊠ Yes □ Yes | □No ⊠No |
| | operation? \square N/A d. Date of last VE test: $2/25/2010$ e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? | ✓ Yes✓ Yes | No |
| | f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)? | ⊠ Yes | ∐No |
| D.A | DE H. VICIDI E EMICCIONO EECENIO | | |
| PA | RT II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u> | (check ☑ box for each of | only one question) |
| 1. | Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? | Yes | ⊠No □No □No |
| | c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? | Yes in any one-hour) | □No |
| 2. | Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? | Yes Yes | NoNoNo |
| 3. | d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? | rds? | □No |
| | If yes, what reason? | ∐ Yes | ⊠No |
| | | | |
| PA | RT III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS | (check ☑ box for each of | only one question) |
| 1. | Were there any objectionable odors detected? | Yes | ⊠No |
| | An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected- | (1-10) | |
| | Continuous Monitoring Systems – Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the | | |
| | secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? | Yes | □No |
| _ | time at $\Box 1,800^1$ $\Box 1,600^2$ degrees was determined? | Yes | □No |

| PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued) | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? | | | | | |
| 1) All temperature measurements | Yes | □No | | | |
| 2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; | ⊠ Yes | ПNо | | | |
| monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations | Yes | □No □No | | | |
| 4) Adjustments | Yes | <u>□</u> No | | | |
| 5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices | ∑ Yes | ∐No | | | |
| 6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices | ⊠ Yes | ∐No | | | |
| d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings | ⊠ Yes | ∏No | | | |
| e. Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3) | Yes | □No | | | |
| (1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatical | | | | | |
| control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity | ⊠ Yes | ∐No | | | |
| exceeds 15% opacity? | ⊠ Yes | □No | | | |
| (3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in | _ | | | | |
| accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule? | ⊠ Yes | □No | | | |
| | | a_ | | | |
| PART IV: <u>SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES</u> | (check ✓ box for each | only one | | | |
| | DOX TOT CACIT | question) | | | |
| 1. If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the: | | | | | |
| a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F | □ v | □ N. | | | |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremati | | ∐No | | | |
| process begins in the primary chamber? | Yes | □No | | | |
| 2. If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the: | | | | | |
| a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F | _ | | | | |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? | ∑ Yes | ∐No | | | |
| b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremati process begins in the primary chamber? | ion Yes | □No | | | |
| process organis in the primary vinineer. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| PART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS | (check 🗹 | only one | | | |
| | box for each | question) | | | |
| 1. Other than human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, | | | | | |
| including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit? | □ 3 7 | ⊠ Ma | | | |
| | - Yes | ⊠No | | | |
| 2. Do summation contains as sent in an array than 0.5 % (second) by the 11 street 1 | - 🔛 Yes | ☑N0 | | | |
| 2. Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer? | Yes Yes | ⊠No | | | |

| PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE | | (check ☑ box for each | only one question) | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the ma | anufacturer's specifications? | Yes | □No | | | |
| Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame of If no, skip a. – b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least or b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary? | characteristics? nce during each operating shift? | - Yes Yes | □No □No □No □No | | | |
| PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS | (check 🗸 only one hov) | | | | | |
| ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIA | | JANCE | | | | |
| Facility Section (continued) | | | | | | |
| SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES | | (check 🗹 | only one | | | |
| | | box for each | n question) | | | |
| Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nur associated with a change in ownership or with a physical re operations comprising the facility; or any other similar min 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment d. A change in ownership? | its or Yes | NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo | | | | |
| FRANK DELGADO | 9/16/2011 | | | | | |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print) | Date of Inspection | | | | | |
| | 9/2012 | | | | | |
| Inspector's Signature | Approximate Date of Next Ins | pection | | | | |
| COMMENTS: THE CREMATORY WAS OPERATIONAL AT THE TIME OF THE INSPECTION. THE SECONDARY CHAMBER TEMPERATURE WAS 1650 DEGREES FAHRENHEIT. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS FROM THE CREMATORY. LAZARO PEREZ IS THE CREMATORY OPERATOR. I SPOKE TO THE OWNER MRS. MASPONS AND REMINDED HER THAT A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS DUE BY 12/31/2011. ALL RECORDS WERE AVAILABLE AND UP-TO-DATE. THE HOUSEKEEPING IS GOOD. I DID NOT DETECT ANY OBJECTIONABLE ODORS AROUND THE FACILITY. | | | | | | |

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 2:10 pm, Sep 30, 2011